Calse 2:19-cv-00168-JAD-DJA Document 183 Filed 01/25/22 Page 1 of 3

that responses to The New York Times Company's Motion to Intervene [ECF 176], currently due on January 25, 2022, be extended to February 8, 2022.

Plaintiff's counsel initially requested a one-week extension of time for Plaintiff to file the foregoing responses. Defendant did not object and requested a reciprocal extension of time.

Plaintiff's counsel was unable to complete drafting the subject responses on or before the initial deadline, because Plaintiff's counsel and his family tested positive and suffered the Covid-19 virus over the holidays which prevented Plaintiff from reviewing and completing plaintiff's response to the notice regarding real party in interest, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police

Department, criminal investigative file [ECF 172] as well as responding to the New York Times Company's Motion to Intervene [ECF 176].

Defendant's Counsel also had to dedicate substantial time to preparation for trial in other cases and has experienced staffing shortages associated with the recent uptick in COVID-19 cases. Accordingly, Defense Counsel likewise asserted good cause for the initial one week extension of the response deadlines.

Since the time this Court granted the parties second request for extension [ECF 179] making the foregoing responses due on January 25, 2022, respectively, the Parties have been diligently working on their responses. However, the parties are engaged in continued negotiations, in an attempt to resolve and/or further narrow the issues to be determined by this Court. As of the date of filing the instant stipulation, negotiations have not yet reached any final resolution. Accordingly, good cause exists to extend the instant deadline for an additional two weeks in order to allow a continued and meaningful opportunity to exchange draft stipulations to further explore such a resolution. As such, the Parties stipulate to the same.

1	2:19-cv-00168-JAD-DJA	
2	Mayorga v. Ronaldo Stipulation to Extend time for Plaintiff	
3	and Defendant's responses to [ECF 172, 1]	76]
4	Dated this 25 <sup>th</sup> January, 2022	Dated this 25 <sup>th</sup> January, 2022
5	STOVALL AND ASSOCIATES	CHRISTIANSEN TRIAL LAWYERS
6	/s/ Leslie Mark Stovall	/s/ Kendelee L. Works
	LESLIE MARK STOVALL, ESQ.	PETER S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ.
7	Stovall & Associates 2301 Palomino Lane	KENDELEE LEASCHER WORKS, ESQ.
8	Las Vegas, NV 89107	Christiansen Trial Lawyers 710 South 7th Street, Suite B
9	(702) 258 3034	Las Vegas, NV 89101
	Fax: (702) 258 0093	(702) 240-7979
10	Email: <u>les@lesstovall.com</u>	
11	ORDER	
12	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff and Defendant's responses to the notice	
13	·	
14	regarding real party in interest's, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, criminal	
15	investigative file [ECF 172] and further that the parties' responses to The New York Times	
16	Company's Motion to Intervene [ECF 176], currently due on January 25, 2022, be extended to	
17	February 8, 2022.	
5744.0000	DATED this day of January, 2022	
18		
19		
20		THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21		
22	Respectfully Submitted: Dated this 25 <sup>th</sup> January, 2022	Dated this 25 <sup>th</sup> January, 2022
23	STOVALL AND ASSOCIATES	CHRISTIANSEN TRIAL LAWYERS
24	/s/ Leslie Mark Stovall	/s/ Kendelee L. Works
25	LESLIE MARK STOVALL, ESQ.	PETER S. CHRISTIANSEN, ESQ.
26	Stovall & Associates 2301 Palomino Lane	KENDELEE LEASCHER WORKS, ESQ. Christiansen Trial Lawyers
27	Las Vegas, NV 89107	710 South 7th Street, Suite B
	(702) 258 3034 Fax: (702) 258 0093	Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 240-7979
28	Email: les@lesstovall.com	(702) 240-7979 Page 3 of 3
		1 age 3 01 3